

Message

---

**From:** George Allen [gallen@nescaum.org]  
**Sent:** 2/12/2019 7:04:57 PM  
**To:** Lisa Rector [lrector@nescaum.org]; Johnson, Steffan [johnson.steffan@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** Re: FW: tunnel T

As a footnote, the PM WG recommend a max tunnel T of 100F [38C]. While that may not be realistic even with 500 cfm tunnel flow, the tunnel temps here are almost always well beyond 37C, with most of the high fire runs higher than 60C. Not shown here is one run that maxed out at 120C with Mark's 200 cfm tunnel [a different stove].  
George

At 01:46 PM 2/12/2019, Lisa Rector wrote:  
Stef, George just passed along the attached charts, these are tunnel temps comparing ASTM and EPA M28R remember for the 1st 120 minutes. Tunnel flow 200 CFM, higher than most stoves tests. We were surprised by the high tunnel temps so we compared to M28R. While all have high temperature issues, we noticed the significantly higher tunnel temps for ASTM than M28R. Also interesting is to look how the ASTM start-up (included in the high fire) impacts the shape of the high fire load, which looks to us like it has a very different shape than ASTM. Any thoughts, is this news to you or expected?

-----Original Message-----

**From:** George Allen  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 12, 2019 12:58 PM  
**To:** Lisa Rector <lrector@nescaum.org>  
**Subject:** tunnel T

As pdf and as .gif grafics. Each is the first 120 minutes of the test.